

Ellingham, Harbridge & Ibsley Parish Council
Planning Committee Meeting held on Tuesday 8th December 2020
Held remotely via Zoom

In attendance:

Cllr Burtenshaw (Chairman)
Cllr Blake
Cllr Coutts
Cllr Errington (Vice Chairman)
Cllr Loader
Cllr Stainton-Burrell
Cllr Taylor
Cllr Wilson

Parish Clerk: Joanna Ramsay

1. **20/12/244P Apologies for absence**
No apologies were received.
2. **20/12/245P Declarations of Interest**
None were declared.
3. **20/12/246P To approve minutes of the meeting held on 10th November 2020**
It was proposed by Cllr Loader and seconded by Cllr Stainton-Burrell that the minutes of the meeting held on 10th November 2020 be signed as a true and accurate record: **ALL IN FAVOUR.**
4. **20/12/247P Chairman's report on matters arising (not on the agenda)**
Nothing to report.
5. **20/12/248P Public Forum**
None present.
6. **20/12/249P Determine responses to Development Control and Tree works applications**

Planning

- (i) **NFDC 20/11003 Thatched Eaves, Salisbury Road, Ibsley BH24 3PP**
Re-consultation: Height Variation to approved garden room and new timber pergola

Cllr Errington presented the application.

This application is in effect, retrospective as the footprint of the buildings are larger than previously approved under 18/11340. The drawings now show the as-built dimensions with the correct height and shape depicted. The increase in height is due to a ring beam construction footing rather than the conventional footing. A brief discussion was had about ensuring comments reflect what had been previously agreed at October's meeting and in 2018. This application is going to the NFDC Planning Committee this month and comments should not detract from

Chairman

Date

that. Concerns raised about the unshielded lighting under the pergola, noise and the potential change of business use to the garden structures are still relevant however the Parish Council did agree to the outbuildings previously.

It was **proposed** by Cllr Burtenshaw and **seconded** by Cllr Blake to recommend PAR1 (PERMISSION, but accept the decision reached by officers) to NFDC however the Parish Council have serious concerns about unnecessary light pollution and the Parish Council request that passive infrared or PIR sensors are placed on external lighting as recommended in the original application 18/11340. **ALL IN FAVOUR.**

(ii) **NFDC 20/11288 Land at Hamer Warren, Somerley, Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley**
Diversion of Footpath

Cllr Burtenshaw presented the application.

The application is to realign the route of the footpath on the HCC Definitive Map to the current 'walked route'. This is something which has the support of the Ringwood and Fordingbridge Footpath Society, although their main concern is the narrowness of the path. Having walked the route, Cllr Burtenshaw also noted that the path is overgrown in areas.

It was **proposed** by Cllr Errington and **seconded** by Cllr Wilson to recommend PAR3 (PERMISSION) to NFDC on the basis that the permission for the application for the diversion of the footpath makes clear reference that the landowner, i.e. Somerley Estate takes responsibility for the path's clearance to the designated width of 1.5 metres and its future ongoing maintenance. **ALL IN FAVOUR.**

Cllr Coutts arrived at this point in the meeting, 19.58pm

(iii) **NPA 20/00832 Blackheath Farm, Toms Lane, Linwood, BH24 3QX**
Single storey extension; porch, roof alterations;
windows/doors alterations

Cllr Loader presented the application.

Cllr Loader referred to the Planning Statement, the application is for amendments to what has already been agreed in several LDC applications over the years. The proposal covers the addition of timber cladding; a slate roof; replacement windows; change to a pitch roof and environmental enhancements. The only area of concern could be the pitch roof, if the roof space is increased enough to make it a habitable room. The footprint is ostensibly the same. The briefing note was received today and it is advised that the alterations could be acceptable provided they are in the context of the site setting.

It was **proposed** by Cllr Loader and **seconded** by Cllr Coutts to recommend Option 1 (PERMISSION, but accept the decision reached by officers) to NF NPA

provided that the proposed materials for the alterations are suitable and appropriate in the landscape. **IN FAVOUR – 7 COUNCILLORS, 1 ABSTAIN**

Treeworks

- (i) **NPA CONS/20/0675 Kingston House, 5 Cross Lanes, Mockbeggar BH24 3NQ**
Fell 1 x Sycamore Tree

Cllr Coutts presented the application.

The application is to fell a reasonably large Sycamore tree which overhangs the field next door. There is an evergreen Oak and a Tulip tree alongside, by removing the Sycamore it would give the Oak tree space to flourish. It would not be detrimental to remove it and would stop debris falling in to the field which ponies may eat to their demise.

It was **proposed** by Cllr Coutts and **seconded** by Cllr Burtenshaw to raise no objection. **IN FAVOUR – 7 COUNCILLORS, 1 ABSTAIN**

7. 20/12/250P Note decisions for Applications, Appeals and Enforcements received from NFNPA and NFDC

HCC/NFDC 19/10063	Blue Haze Landfill Site, Somerley Road, BH24 3QE <i>Time extension for landfill gas plant. Granted</i>
HCC/NFDC 19/10064	Blue Haze Landfill Site, Somerley Road, BH24 3QE <i>Time extension for waste transfer station. Granted</i>
HCC/NFDC 19/10066	Blue Haze Landfill Site, Somerley Road, BH24 3QE <i>Time extension for importation of waste and site restoration. Granted</i>
NPA 20/00687	Amberleigh, Mockbeggar, Ringwood, BH24 3NQ <i>Single storey extension, dormer, rooflights, demolish conservatory. Granted stc.</i>
NFDC LDCE 20/10934	The Old Church, Mockbeggar Lane, BH24 3PP <i>Planning permission 14/10585 had been implemented. Not proven</i>
NFDC 20/10937	Crofton, Mockbeggar Lane, Ibsley, BH24 3PR <i>Conversion of garage to annexe. Granted stc.</i>
NPA 20/00638	Old Orchard, Linford Road, Linford, BH24 3HU <i>Single storey extension, decking. Granted stc.</i>
NPA CONS 20/0621	Mount Farm Cottage, Highwood, BH24 3LZ <i>Crown reduction of Oak. Raise no objections</i>
NFDC CONS/20/0555	The Old Rectory, Mockbeggar Lane, Ibsley, BH24 3PR <i>Reduce 2 x Lime Trees. Raise no objections</i>
NFDC 20/11093	The Old Rectory, Mockbeggar Lane, Ibsley, BH24 3PR <i>Demolition existing conservatory and build replacement. Granted stc.</i>
NFDC 20/11094	The Old Rectory, Mockbeggar Lane, Ibsley, BH24 3PR <i>Listed Building Consent for 20/11093. Granted stc</i>

8. 20/12/251P Consider responses for Planning Authority Committee meetings, Appeals, Enforcements, Consultations:

- NFDC Waste Strategy. Chairman thanked Cllr Taylor for putting all Councillors' comments into one document. Cllr Taylor raised concern that the strategy has data gaps and there is no analysis as to why NFDC are putting this into place. Currently it is a one size fits all policy and maybe an option to opt in or out would be reasonable due to the diversity from urban areas to rural ones. Cllr Stainton Burrell and Cllr Coutts both raised that animals eating through the current black bag system is an issue and making refuse collection animal proof would be an idea. The revised response is attached as an appendix to the minutes.

It was **proposed** by Cllr Burtenshaw and **seconded** by Cllr Blake to respond officially to NFDC from the Parish Council via the Clerk with Cllr Taylor's summary with an amendment to mention the consideration of the animals who try and eat the refuse. **IN FAVOUR – 7 COUNCILLORS, 1 ABSTAIN**

- NFDC 20/11001, 20/11002 and 20/11003 planning applications which relate to Thatched Eaves are going to be considered at the next NFDC Planning Committee which is being held tomorrow, 9th December. It is thought that the Planning Officer and the Parish Council have disagreed on the approval and hence it has gone to the Committee. Cllr Lane has also taken up the issues raised at this property on behalf of the neighbours. The Parish Council does not have an issue with the structures themselves and therefore we cannot improve on what comments have already been submitted. The issue is more about the future potential for light pollution, entertainment use, change of use, and business impact.

The meeting closed at 8.33pm

Dates of 2020/21 EH&I PC meetings starting at 7.30 pm:

Planning Committee

12th January
9th February
9th March

Council

26th January
23rd February
23rd March

Appendix 1

Draft EHIPC response to NFDC Waste Strategy – Dec 8th, 2020

Overall, EHIPC applauds the commitment of NFDC to improve the environmental impact of waste management in the District, including the Parish of Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley. It is recognised that the District has very diverse Waste Management needs, considering the broad range of industry, commerce and housing. Specifically, regarding our Parish, we ask that you consider the following points as you finalise the strategy:

- Many of the proposals are already and have been in existence by other District Councils for some time. What steps are being taken to understand and apply best practice? We note the top 10 councils in the UK have recycling rates above 65% twice the current level of NFDC.
- Some elements of waste do not apply to all residents (Garden & Food Waste for example, as many people compost) is it possible to decline these waste collection option (leading to a reduction in number of food caddies required across NFDC)?
- What alternatives are being considered for residents that have no storage options for large wheelie bins?
- What alternatives are being considered for less able residents that will not be able to manipulate the large wheelie bins?
- Often today the refuse collectors will walk down small lanes and difficult to access properties then collect and stack bags at the end of the accessible road. How will this approach be adapted with the introduction of caddies & wheelie bins?
- How will the new Waste Strategy address the issue of animals (particularly donkeys in the forest) getting into waste that is left out for collection?
- The strategy describes the increased recycling opportunity versus current practice:
 - Beyond the Engagement Survey, what evidence is there that moving from 2 to 5 waste management options will result in higher compliance from residents?
 - What degree of resident participation is required for this Waste Management strategy to lead to improved environmental impact versus the current approach?
 - What degree of Waste Management improvement is required for this strategy to be cost effective?
 - What total system impact analysis (cradle to grave) has been done to confirm that the carbon footprint of manufacturing and distributing the various new containers is offset by the improved waste management. Over what time period is it expected that this initiative will be net carbon negative for New Forest District?
- Conversations between residents and refuse collectors indicated that the contents of the clear plastic sacks are not currently being recycled due to COVID-19 infection control concerns. Please could you confirm if this is true and when this policy is planned to be reversed?
- What other impact has the COVID-19 pandemic had on the current waste management practices?

- How will a continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic impact the execution of this new Waste Management strategy and what mitigation plans have been put in place?